REMARKS

The Buskop Law Group, PC

This paper is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Communication dated December 23, 2005, having a statutory period for response set to expire on March 23, 2006.

Claims 1, 6, and 22 arc Currently Amended in the Application.

Claims 5, 18, 19, and 21 are Cancelled in the Application.

Claims 1-4, 5-18, 20, and 22-32 are Pending in the Application.

I. Priority

MAR-23-2006(THU) 22:17

The Office Action rejects Applicant's Application as a CIP of US Pat. No. 6,660,308. Applicant disagrees with this finding since Applicant believes that Applicant's Application repeats a substantial portion of US Pat. No. 6,660,308. Applicant believes Applicant's food bar is similar to US Pat. No. 6,660,308 yet only in a different form. Instead of a beverage, as found in US Pat. No. 6,660,308, Applicant's Application is a food bar that produces similar end results and incorporates many of the similar ingredients, except protein or fiber to make it into a solid form. Reconsideration of the given priority date is respectfully requested.

II. Rejection 35 USC § 103

The Office Action rejected Claims 1-6, 8, 11-12, 16-17, 21-24, 26, 27, 31, and 31 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0152642 Stone and US Pat. No. 6,149,939 Stumor.

Stone teaches a food supplement that uses fat as a coating material (Stone Paragraph [0041]). In Stone, fat is used as a coating material to provide flavoring such as "peanut flavored, fruit flavored, chocolate flavored, vanilla flavored, coconut flavored or flavored with other commercially available flavorings" (Stone Paragraph [0041]).

Applicant's food bar uses fat as a binder (Applicant's Application Claim 1). The

Attorney Docket: 1190.08

Scrial No.: 10/725,611

binder of fat in Applicant's food bar is used to hold together the mixture of other ingredients. Stone does not teach the use of a fat as a binder, instead fat is taught to be used as a coating material (Stone Paragraph [0041]).

Stone does not teach the use of a sulfur compound or a digestive enzyme. The sulfur compound methyl sulfonyl methane in Applicant's food bar aids in the digestion of glucosamine (Applicant's Application Claim I). The digestive enzyme in Applicant's food bar is used to aid digestion (Applicant's Application Claim 1). The usage of the sulfur compound and the digestive enzyme are important to expedite the flow of glucosamine through the gut and quickly into the bloodstream (Applicant's Application Paragraph [0003]).

Stone additionally does not teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine to be taken in one daily dose that can be quickly absorbed into the bloodstream.

Applicant's food bar permits a large convenient dosage of glucosamine to bypasses the gut and climinates the adverse reactions of the supplement's elemental ingredients as well as protects and buffers the lining of the stomach from the high dosages of the supplement's elemental ingredients (Applicant's Application Paragraph [0003]). A large convenient dosage of glucosamine would also buffer the glucose levels in the blood and significantly reduce or eliminate the possible adverse effects of the supplement's essential ingredients (Applicant's Application Paragraph [0003]). The ingestion of a large convenient dosage of glucosamine is aided in Applicant's food bar by the sulfur compound methyl sulfonyl methane and the digestive enzymes (Applicant's Application Claim 1).

Strumor teaches the use of emergency ration food tablets (Strumor Figure 1-6). Applicant believes that Strumor does not teach the missing elements in Stone of using fat as a binder or of using a sulfur compound and digestive enzymes to expedite the flow of glucosamine nor does Strumor teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine.

Claims 2-6, 8, 11-12, 16-17, 21-24, 26, 27, 31, and 31 depend upon independent Claim 1, and therefore include all the limitations thereof. Since Applicant believes that independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor, Claims 2-6, 8, 11-12, 16-17, 21-24, 26, 27, 31, and 31 are patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor as well. Applicant

Attorney Docket: 1190.08 Serial No.: 10/725,611

believes that no new subject matter has been added. Reconsideration of the rejection to the Claims in view of the remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected Claims 18-20, 24, and 25 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0152642 Stone and US Pat. No. 6,149,939 Strumor in view of US Pat No. 6,632,449 Niehoff.

Niehoff teaches a beverage composition with at least a boron compound and 10% by weight of composition of water (Niehoff Column 2 lines 53-60 and Claim 1).

Applicant believes that Niehoff does not teach the missing clements in Stone of using fat as a binder or of using a sulfur compound and digestive enzymes to expedite the flow of glucosamine nor does Niehoff teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine.

Claims 18-20, 24, and 25 depend upon independent Claim 1, and therefore include all the limitations thereof. Since Applicant believes that independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor, in view of Niehoff. Claims 18-20, 24, and 25 are patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor in view of Niehoff as well. Applicant believes that no new subject matter has been added. Reconsideration of the rejection to the Claims in view of the remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected Claims 21 and 22 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0152642 Stone and US Pat. No. 6,149,939 Stumor. in view of US Pat No. 5,840,715 Florio.

Florio teaches nutritional supplements and a dietary regimen of more than one supplement daily (Florio Column 1 lines 10-15, Column 7 lines 38-50).

Applicant believes that Florio does not teach the missing elements in Stone of using fat as a binder or of using a sulfur compound and digestive enzymes to expedite the flow of

Attorney Docket: 1190.08 Serial No.: 10/725,611

glucosamine nor does Florio teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine.

Claims 21 and 22 depend upon independent Claim 1, and therefore include all the limitations thereof. Since Applicant believes that independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor, in view of Florio. Claims 21 and 22 are patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor in view of Florio as well. Applicant believes that no new subject matter has been added. Reconsideration of the rejection to the Claims in view of the remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected Claims 20 and 28 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0152642 Stone and US Pat. No. 6,149,939 Stumor. in view of US Pat No. 6,333,304 Bath.

Bath teaches a consumption of tablets of more than one supplement daily (Bath Column 7 lines 25-45).

Applicant believes that Bath does not teach the missing elements in Stone of using fat as a binder or of using a sulfur compound and digestive enzymes to expedite the flow of glucosamine nor does Bath teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine.

Claims 20 and 28 depend upon independent Claim 1, and therefore include all the limitations thereof. Since Applicant believes that independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor, in view of Bath. Claims 20 and 28 are patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor in view of Bath as well. Applicant believes that no new subject matter has been added. Reconsideration of the rejection to the Claims in view of the remarks is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket: 1190.08

Serial No.: 10/725,611

P. 011/012

The Office Action rejected Claim 28 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0152642 Stone and US Pat. No. 6,149,939 Stumor. in view of US Pat No. 6,624,148 Theoharides.

The Buskop Law Group, PC

Theoharides teaches a consumption of tablets (Theoharides Column 5 lines 43 -Column 6 lines 55).

Applicant believes that Theoharides does not teach the missing elements in Stone of using fat as a binder or of using a sulfur compound and digestive enzymes to expedite the flow of glucosamine nor does Theoharides teach a method of ingesting a large convenient dosage of glucosamine.

Claim 28 depend upon independent Claim 1, and therefore include all the limitations thereof. Since Applicant believes that independent Claim 1 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor, in view of Theoharides. Claim 28 is patentably distinct from Stone and Strumor in view of Theoharides as well. Applicant believes that no new subject matter has been added. Reconsideration of the rejection to the Claim in view of the remarks is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket: 1190.08 Scrial No.: 10/725,611

Applicant appreciates the examiners time and attention to this matter. Applicant

believes no new matter has been added with any amendments that have been made. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

March 23, 2006

Date

Respectfully submitted,

ondy Susley

Wendy Buskop

Reg. 32, 202

Please mail correspondence to:

To the Address Associated with Customer No. 29637

Buskop Law Group, P.C. 1776 Yorktown, Suite 550 Houston, Texas 77056 713.403.7411

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
Мотнер.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.